1 2 3 4 5 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 8 EDWARD COLLINS, an individual, 9 Plaintiff, Case No. CV-10-324-EFS 10 DEFENDANT'S ANSWER AND, v. 11 AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES BISHOP, WHITE & MARSHALL, P.S., a 12 Washington corporation, 13 Defendant. 14 Comes now, Bishop White and Marshall, P.S. (hereinafter "BWM") and for answer 15 to the complaint filed by Plaintiff in this cause states: 16 1. The allegations in paragraph 1 of the complaint contain legal conclusions 17 which do not require an answer. To the extent that any claims are pled, they are denied. 18 2. BWM admits the allegations of paragraph 2 of the complaint. 19 3. BWM does not have sufficient information to form an opinion as to the 20 truth in the allegations in paragraph 3 and therefore denies them. 21 4. BWM admits the allegations in paragraph 4, 5 and 6 of the complaint. 22 5. BMW does not have sufficient information to form an opinion as to the 23 truth of the allegations in paragraphs 7 and 8 of the complaint and therefore denies them. 24 DEFENDANT'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES - 1

25

BISHOP, WHITE, MARSHALL & WEIBEL, P.S. 720 OLIVE WAY, SUITE 1301
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-1801
206/622-5306 FAX: 206/622-0354

complaint speaks for itself and to the extent that a different interpretation is implied, it is				
denied.				
,	7.	BMW does not have sufficient information to form an opinion as to the		
truth of	f the a	llegations in paragraphs 10 and 11 of the complaint and therefore denies		
them.				
	8.	BWM denies the allegations in paragraph 12 of the complaint.		
(9.	BMW does not have sufficient information to form an opinion as to the		
truth of the allegations in paragraph 13 of the complaint and therefore denies them.				
	10.	BWM admits the allegation in paragraph 14 of the complaint.		
	11.	With respect to paragraph 15 of the complaint, BWM states that the Exhibit		
speaks for itself and to the extent that a different interpretation is implied, it is denied.				
	12.	BWM denies the allegations in paragraph 16 of the complaint. Plaintiff's		
attorneys stated that they represented Edward E. Collins, not Edward S. Collins.				
	13.	With respect to paragraph 17 of the complaint, BWM states that the Exhibit		
speaks for itself and to the extent that a different interpretation is implied, it is denied.				
	14.	With respect to paragraph 18 of the complaint, BWM states that the		
document speaks for itself and to the extent that a different interpretation is implied, it is				
denied.				
	15.	BWM admits that it did not file the action as alleged in paragraph 19 of the		

1

2

3

4

6

5

8

7

9

11 12

13

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

25

24

DEFENDANT'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES - 3

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

By way of affirmative defenses, BWM realleges the foregoing answer and states:

- 17. BWM denies that Plaintiff sustained any damages, injury or loss and further denies that the alleged acts or omissions of BWM were the proximate cause of Plaintiff's alleged damages.
- 18. Plaintiff's damages, if any, were the direct and proximate result of the Plaintiff's own conduct for which BWM had no responsibility or control and which are a superseding or intervening cause of the alleged damages.
- 19. In the event that there were any violations of any statute, they were unintentional and resulted from a bona fide error notwithstanding the maintenance of procedures reasonably adopted to avoid such error.
- 20. Any actions or representations by BWM and its employees, or agents were made in good faith and based upon a reasonable belief that the actions were true and correct.
- 21. Plaintiff's claim is barred by the principles of waiver, estoppel and judicial estoppel.
 - 22. Plaintiff is without standing to bring this action.
- 23. Plaintiff has failed to state any facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against BWM.

Wherefore BWM prays for the following relief:

1. That the complaint be dismissed in its entirety.

BISHOP, WHITE, MARSHALL & WEIBEL, P.S. 720 OLIVE WAY, SUITE 1301 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-1801

206/622-5306 FAX: 206/622-0354

1	2. That BWM be awarded its costs and attorney's fees incurred in defending				
2	the complaint.				
3	3.	That BWM be award	led such other and further relief as the court deems just		
4	and proper.				
5	Dated this 13th day of October, 2010.				
6					
7			BISHOP, WHITE, MARSHALL & WEIBEL, P.S.		
8					
9			/s/ Krista L. White		
10			Krista L. White, WSBA #8612 Attorney for Defendant		
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24	DEFEND AND	G ANGWED AND	DIGHOD WHITE MADOWAY A WEYDEY DO		
25	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES - 4		BISHOP, WHITE, MARSHALL & WEIBEL, P.S. 720 OLIVE WAY, SUITE 1301 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-1801		
			206/622-5306 FAX: 206/622-0354		

DEFENDANT'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES - 5

BISHOP, WHITE, MARSHALL & WEIBEL, P.S. 720 OLIVE WAY, SUITE 1301
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-1801
206/622-5306 FAX: 206/622-0354